**Example (More details for Remark 2 in Handout 1):** Let 0 < a < 1, define  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by  $f(x) = \begin{cases} ax + x^2 \sin \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ . Then,  $f'(x) = \begin{cases} a + 2x \sin \frac{1}{x} - \cos \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ , where we use

the definition of the derivative to compute f'(0), and  $f''(x) = \begin{cases} (2-\frac{1}{x})\sin\frac{1}{x} - \frac{2}{x}\cos\frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ \text{does not exist} & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$ .

Claim: There is no solution to the following system of equations

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} f'(x) = 0 \\ f''(x) = 0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{pmatrix} 2x & -1 \\ 2 - \frac{1}{x^2} & -\frac{2}{x} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{1}{x} \\ \cos \frac{1}{x} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We prove this claim by contradiction. Suppose that system (1) has a solution for some x, then observe that, for this x in the system (1), the following system

(2) 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2x & -1\\ 2 - \frac{1}{x^2} & -\frac{2}{x} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1\\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -a\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

has a solution  $x_1 = \sin \frac{1}{x}, x_2 = \cos \frac{1}{x}.$  (3)

However, if we solve the system (2) by using Cramer's rule, we shall get

$$x_{1} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} -a & -1 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{x} \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 2x & -1 \\ 2 - \frac{1}{x^{2}} & -\frac{2}{x} \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{2a/x}{-4 + (2 - \frac{1}{x^{2}})} \quad ; \quad x_{2} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 2x & -a \\ 2 - \frac{1}{x^{2}} & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 2x & -1 \\ 2 - \frac{1}{x^{2}} & -\frac{2}{x} \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{2a - a/x^{2}}{-4 + (2 - \frac{1}{x^{2}})}$$

which implies that  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = \frac{a^2[4x^2 + (2x^2 - 1)^2]}{(1 + 2x^2)^2} = \frac{a^2[4x^4 + 1]}{1 + 4x^2 + 4x^4} \le a^2 < 1$ (4)

This is a contradiction since, by (3),  $x_1 = \sin \frac{1}{x}$ ,  $x_2 = \cos \frac{1}{x}$  is a solution of the system (2), and satisfies that  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = \sin^2 \frac{1}{x} + \cos^2 \frac{1}{x} = 1 > a^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$  by (4). Therefore, the system (1) does have a solution. This implies that when f'(x) = 0,  $f''(x) \neq 0$ , therefore, f is not 1 - 1 around x. Note that the Inverse Function Theorem does not apply here since f' is not continuous at x = 0. Examples of curves in parametric form:

(1) Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by f(t) = (t, |t|). Note that the f is not differentiable at t = 0, and  $f(\mathbb{R}) =$  the graph of y = |x| over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(2) Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by  $f(t) = (t^3, t^2)$ . Note that the f is everywhere differentiable, but  $f'_{I}(0) = (0,0)$ , and  $f(\mathbb{R}) =$  the graph of  $y^3 = x^2$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  with a vertical cusp at (0,0), i.e.  $\lim_{x \to 0^{\pm}} \frac{dy}{dx} = \pm \infty.$ (3) Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2$  be defined by  $f(t) = (t^3 - 4t, t^2 - 4)$ . Note that f is differentiable and  $f'(t) \neq (0, 0)$ 

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . But, f(2) = f(-2) = (0,0) i.e. f is not a globally one-to-one function, and the curve  $f(\mathbb{R})$  is not differentiable at (0,0).

**Example 4(b) in Handout 1:** Let  $\mathscr{F} = \{f_n(x) = x^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \mid x \in I = [0, 1]\}$ . Note that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and any  $x, y \in I$ , there exists a  $c_n$  lying between x and y such that

(\*) 
$$|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| = n c_n^{n-1} |x - y|$$
 (by the Mean Value Theorem).

For each n, choosing x = 1 and  $0 \le y < 1$  in (\*), we have

$$1 - y^n == n c_n^{n-1} (1 - y)$$

Note that the left-hand-side approaches to 1 as n goes to  $\infty$ , i.e.  $n c_n^{n-1}$  on the right-hand-side approaches to  $\frac{1}{1-y}$  as n goes to  $\infty$ . This implies that if  $1 > \epsilon > 0$ , then  $|f_n(1) - f_n(y)| \ge \epsilon$  for all  $0 \le y < 1$ , and for all sufficiently large n. Hence,  $\mathscr{F}$  is not uniformly equicontinuous.

## Some notions you need from before:

**Exercise:** (1a) Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ . When is S said to be open in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ ? closed in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ ? bounded? compact? What is the limiting (or accumulation, or cluster) points set of S?

**Exercise (1b)** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f : S \to \mathbb{R}^q$ . When is f said to be continuous on S? Let  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^q$ , what is  $f^{-1}(W)$ ? What is f(S)? What is the graph of f over S? If S is compact, what can you say about f(S), and the continuity of f on S?

(2a) The Completeness Axiom (Sec. 1.5 in the book): Let  $\emptyset \neq S \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $\mathbb{R}$  be equipped with the Euclidean distance ||x - y|| for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  (note that ||x - y|| = |x - y| in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). If S has an upper bound, then, by "bisecting" the set S, the least upper bound (or the supremum) of S, denoted sup  $S = \sup\{x \mid x \in S\}$ , exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ , If S has a lower bound, then the greatest lower bound (or the infimum) of S, denoted inf  $S = \inf\{x \mid x \in S\}$ , exists in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Using this completeness property of  $\mathbb{R}$  (or by "bisecting" S), one can show that

(2b) Theorem 1.18: Every bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R} \implies \sup\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $\inf\{x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ exist) has a convergent subsequence  $(\{x_{n_k}\} \text{ converging to } \sup\{x_n\} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \inf\{x_n\} \in \mathbb{R}.)$ By "bisecting" S, one can be easily generalize Theorem 1.18 to higher dimension  $\mathbb{R}^p$ ,

(2c) Theorem 1.19: Every bounded sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  has a convergent subsequence (that converges to a point in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ .)

(2d) Theorem 1.20:  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^p$  iff  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . [Note: You should check that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^p \implies \{x_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ ]

**Exercise: explain how** (2a) - (2d) indicate that  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , equipped with the Euclidean distance (metric), has the completeness property if and only if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . [e.g. Let  $x_n$  denote the *n* digit decimal representation of  $\sqrt{2}$ ,  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_2 = 1.4$ ,  $x_3 = 1.41, \cdots$ . Note that  $x_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$  with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , i.e. The Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges but it does not converge in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so  $\mathbb{Q}$  does not have completeness property.

(3) Let S be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $f: S \to \mathbb{R}^q$  be a continuous map. Then f is uniformly continuous on S, i.e.  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ , such that for any  $x, y \in S$  with  $||x - y|| < \delta$  we have  $||f(x) - f(y)|| < \epsilon$ . Compare this with f is continuous on S, i.e. f is continuous at each  $x \in S$ ,  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , and for each  $x \in S$ ,  $\exists \delta = \delta(\epsilon, x) > 0$ , such that for any  $y \in S$  with  $||y - x|| < \delta$  we have  $||f(y) - f(x)|| < \epsilon$ . [e.g. Let  $S = (0, 1), f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ . Then for each  $\epsilon > 0, x \in (0, 1) \Longrightarrow \frac{x}{2} > 0$ , since  $||f(y) - f(x)|| = \frac{|y - x|}{yx} < \frac{2|y - x|}{x^2}$ , we may choose  $\delta = \min\{\frac{x^2\epsilon}{2}, 1\}$ , such that if  $|y - x|| < \delta$  then  $||f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{2|y - x|}{x^2} < \frac{2\delta}{x^2} \le \epsilon$ . Note that  $\delta$  here varies according to x, the continuity of f is Not uniform on (the noncompact set) (0, 1).]